UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,765	01/09/2002	David P. Sonnier	SONNIER 4	8338
<sup>47394</sup> HITT GAINES	7590 11/05/2007 S. PC	EXAMINER		
ALCATEL-LUCENT			MATTIS, JASON E	
PO BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		- 12 -	*	r
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE •
			11/05/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

	0
=	2/

# Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/044,765	SONNIER, DAVID P.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason E. Mattis	2616	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason E. Mattis	2616	
ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
of the final rejection.		
ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
		ccause
w);		
tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ecteu ciainis.	
	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	mphant / monamont	(1 TOL 024).
	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
_		
	II be entered and an e	explanation of
overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
Adam NOT alam the coeffeether.		1
it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	U >	
1111/0 1/11		
HUY U. VU	YAMINER	
	Jason E. Mattis  ars on the cover sheet with the county of the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection.  dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE colon which the petition under 37 CFR 1.11 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply originant three months after the mailing dath of the petition with the mailing dath of the petition with the time period set forth in 3 the period of the petition of the date of filling a brief in sideration and/or search (see NO w); the form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejudication and 41.33(a)).  21. See attached Notice of Non-Colon with the time period set forth in 3 to the period of the submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) winded below or appended.  It before or on the date of filling a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the evercome all rejections under appears and was not earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented. So in of the status of the claims after earlier presented.	Jason E. Mattis  ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence add PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abaying replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evider tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 Cre with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the final rejection.  dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F. 16.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and 7 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two month for the final trejection, experimentally active to the final offit than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, experimentally active to the final offit than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, experimentally the filed within two months and the representation of the final rejection, experimentally the filed within the months after the mailing date of the final rejection, experimentally reducing or simplifying the prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered by materially rejected claims.  16 and 41.33(a)).  21. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment in the filed within two months are provided below or appended.  The before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not desurficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fay and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(in of the status of the claims after entry is below or attact the does NOT place the application in condition for allowalt.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments add a newly required claim limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,765

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Advisory Action is in response to the Amendment After-Final filed 10/15/07. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-20 are currently pending in the application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, it is pointed out that although the Applicant believes that the claim amendments do not add newly claimed subject matter, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The change of a limitation in from the alternative (using the term "or") to a non-alternative (using the term "and") does further limit the claim and add a newly claimed limitation.

In response to Applicant's argument that Dell et al. discloses always processing packets in a FIFO that contains the highest priority before packets in other FIFOs and thus is different from the claimed invention, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, it is pointed out that the priority arbitration disclosed by Dell et al. whereby high priority class arbitration always overrides low priority class arbitration is only in a single embodiment and not essential to the invention of Dell et al. Next, although the Applicant points out that the specification of the present Application discloses a situation where a lower priority packet is transferred to a destination before a higher priority

Application/Control Number: 10/044,765

Art Unit: 2616

packet, this argument is moot, since there is no claim limitation necessitating this situation. The independent claims merely contain limitations similar to "scheduling packets... based on said priority summary..." and there is no limitation requiring a lower priority packet to be scheduled before a higher priority packet.

In response to Applicant's argument that none of the cited reference discloses that a "priority summarizer also prioritizes for an n packet FIFO that is to received a highest priority packet", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, as discussed above, the claim limitation requiring this step is a newly added limitation due to the claim amendment; however it is believed that Lo et al. does disclose this newly required claim limitation. Lo et al. discloses using a different transmit FIFO entry point circuit 410-416 for each different transmission priority level (See column 9 lines 31-33 of Lo et al.). Since all packets having the highest priority go to the transmit FIFO entry point circuit having corresponding to the highest priority, the pointer used by Lo et al. to sort packets corresponds to the highest priority transmit FIFO entry point circuit inherently also corresponds to the transmit FIFO entry point circuit that is to received a highest priority packet. Thus, Lo et al. does disclose this claim limitation.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason E. Mattis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3154. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,765 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jem

HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600